## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Jessica Hannah Jameson,	)	C/A No. 4:15-1287-CMC-TER
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration,	) ) )	OPINION & ORDER
Defendant.	) ) )	

Through this action, Plaintiff seeks judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying Plaintiff's claim for Disability Insurance Benefits ("DIB") and Supplemental Security Income ("SSI"). Plaintiff appealed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 405(g) and 1383(c)(3). The matter is currently before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("Report") of Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rules 73.02(B)(2)(a) and 83.VII.02, et seq., D.S.C.

The Report, filed on June 28, 2016, recommends that the decision of the Commissioner be reversed and remanded for further administrative action. ECF No. 20. On July 15, 2016, Defendant filed notice that she would not file objections to the Report. Dkt. No. 22.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge,

4:15-cv-01287-CMC Date Filed 07/27/16 Entry Number 25 Page 2 of 2

or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The

court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life

& Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely

filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must 'only satisfy

itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation.") (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

The court has reviewed the record, the applicable law, and the findings and

recommendations of the Magistrate Judge for clear error. Finding none, the court adopts and

incorporates the Report by reference. For the reasons set forth therein, the decision of the

Commissioner is reversed and remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for

further administrative action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE
Senior United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina July 27, 2016

2